IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 351 OF 2005

SHRI BALKRISHNA SITARAM SALGAONKAR, PANAJI GOA ....Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA,THROUGH IT'S CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS., ...Respondents

Shri Nigel Da Costa Frias, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S.S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms. R. Chodankar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the State.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 19th September, 2005

P.C.:

Heard.

Shri Kantak, the learned Advocate General submits that before proceeding further in the matter, the disciplinary authority namely the Director of Vigilance shall consider and decide the petitioner's preliminary objections as set out in his Reply dated 7.2.05.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied with the statement of the learned Advocate General and submits that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to challenge the Order that may be passed by the disciplinary authority in appropriate proceedings, if necessary and so advised.

We, accordingly, dispose of the Writ Petition by recording the statement of the learned Advocate General that the disciplinary authority shall first consider and decide the petitioner's preliminary objections as set

out in the Reply dated 7.2.05. The petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the said order in appropriate proceedings.

Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

R. M. LODHA, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

sl.